

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/722,650 | | 11/25/2003 | Jyrki Mikkola | 02709/0200570-US0 | 7522 | |
| 7278 | 7590 | 02/09/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| DARBY & P. O. BOX 5 | | Y P.C. | HO, TAN | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257 | | 10150-5257 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 2821 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | H·A | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/722,650 | MIKKOLA ET AL | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Tan Ho | 2821 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | opears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7,9 and 11</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is | ∑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ction is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | application No received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| Notice of Dialisperson's Fatent Drawing Neview (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03 & 4/04</u>. | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/722,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagumo et al (US Patent 6,657,593) in view of Sawamura et al (US Patent 6,535,170).

Nagumo et al disclose, in figure 7C, an antenna device in a radio transmitter and receiver comprising a signal ground, a monopole-type base element 3 having first branch and a second branch with a feed conductor 21, a parasitic element 4 couple dto the signal ground. The patent to Nagumo et al differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose a single matching element connected between the parasitic element and the signal ground. Sawamura et al show, in figure 2B, an antenna device having a matching coil element 231 connected between the antenna element and the signal ground. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of providing the matching impedance of the antenna device it would have been obvious to provide the antenna device of Nagumo et al with a matching element as taught by Sawamura et al. Since the monopole-type base element 3 compring two branches with different length, it is inherent the monopole-type base element having two different frequency bands.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4-7, 9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. The patents to Fukasawa et al, Eggleston, Phillips et al, Sullivan et al, and Sato et al are cited as of interest showing the antenna similar to that disclosed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00AM - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

